



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,667	3,667 08/26/2003		Chengjin M. Huang	AM101193	3920
25291	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
WYETH		T.D.	LE, EMILY M		
PATENT LAW GROUP 5 GIRALDA FARMS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISO	MADISON, NJ 07940			1648	
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		, ,					
Office Action Summary	10/648,667	HUANG, CHENGJIN M.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Emily Le	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	igust 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau		d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,	d.					
200 and amazines detailed different to the light	s coca copico not receive	~.					
Attachment(s)							

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) 🔲 Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/648,667

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10 and 19-22, drawn to monoclonal antibody specific for an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein, and a hybridoma cell line, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - II. Claims 11 and 14, drawn to a method for the detection of an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein in a sample, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - III. Claims 12 and 14, drawn to a method for determining the quantity of an inactivated FIV in a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 517.
 - IV. Claims 13 and 14, drawn to a method for determining the potency of an inactivated FIV in a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
 - V. Claims 15-18, drawn to a method for the preparation of monoclonal antibodies specific for an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein, classified in class 435, subclass 70.21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in

a materially different process of using that product, such as: for the detection of an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein in a sample; for deterring the quantity of an inactivated FIV in a sample; for determining the potency of an inactivated FIV in a sample; and for the preparation of monoclonal antibodies specific for an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein.

Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such as antibodies that are not specific for an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein.

Inventions II-V are independent inventions and thus are subject to restriction.

The inventions are independent processes in that the methods are not dependent on each other, not to be used together and have different functions, modes of operation or effects. In the instant, the processes are not dependent on each other. Additionally, the inventions of Groups II-IV, each have different functions from one another. The invention of Group II is directed at the detection of an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein in a sample; whereas, the invention of Group III is directed at deterring the quantity of an inactivated FIV in a sample, Group IV is directed at determining the potency of an inactivated FIV in a sample. The inventions of Groups II-IV also have a different mode of operation than that of the invention of Group V. The

Application/Control Number: 10/648,667

Art Unit: 1648

invention of Group V is directed at the preparation of monoclonal antibodies specific for an epitope unique to an inactivated FIV-encoded glycoprotein; whereas, the invention of Groups II-IV are directed at using the antibody.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Application/Control Number: 10/648,667

Art Unit: 1648

commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/648,667

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mily de

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600